EXHIBIT A



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK



2017 MAY 23 PM 12: 36

Person Filling; Sandra Zinn	1		80%	9			
Address (If not protected): 8262 E. Vista De Valle	et e	1.0					
City, State, Zip Code: Scottsdale, AZ 85255							
Telephone: (602)318-8080			*				
Email Address: sandrazinn1998@yahoo.com							
awyer's Bar Number: N/A				152	74.4		4
Conresenting Self without a lawyer or Cl.	Attornov for F	7 Dolling	r n	ם רו מ	Jann	an el	A131

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY

Sandra Zinn Name of Petitioner		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Case No.:	
And City of Scottsdale: So	ottsdale Rollce Departmen		you would like legel adviction the Lawyer Reference the Lawyer Reference the Lawyer Reference the Lawyer Reference to the Lawy	1 00
Name of Responde	int	zzanowy wona	or www.maricopalaw	
WARNING: TH	is is an official docume	ent from the court th	Sponsored by at a first court county Bar t a lawyer for help.	the

FROM THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO: City of Scottsdale, Scottsdale Police Department, Maricopa County

Short Fix Department Name of Respondent

- A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers are served on you
 with this "Summons".
- 2. If you do not want a judgment or order taken against you without your input, you must file an "Answer" or a "Response" in writing with the court; and pay the filing fee. If you do not file an "Answer" or "Response" the other party may be given the relief requested in his/her Petition or Complaint. To file your "Answer" or "Response" take, or send, the "Answer" or "Response" to the:
 - Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court, 201 West Jefferson Street, Phoenix, Arlzona 85003-2205
 OR
 - Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court, 18380 North 40th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032 OR
 - . Office of the Clerk of Superior Court, 222 East Javelina Avenue, Mesa, Arizona 85210-6201 OR
 - Office of the Clerk of Superior Court, 14264 West Tierra Buena Lane, Surprise, Arizona, 85374.

Mail a copy of your "Response" or "Answer" to the other party at the address listed on the top of this Summons,

Superior Court of Arizona in Maricopa County
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

CV11f-090413

Case:Number:	

- 3. If this "Summons" and the other court papers were served on you by a registered process server or the Sheriff, within the State of Arizona, your "Response" or "Answer" must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date you were served, not counting the day you were served. If this "Summons" and the other papers were served on you by a registered process server or the Sheriff outside the State of Arizona, your Response must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date you were served, not counting the day you were served. Service by a registered process server or the Sheriff is complete when made. Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of the first publication.
- 4. You can get a copy of the court papers filed in this case from the Petitioner at the address listed at the top of the preceding page, from the Clerk of the Superior Court's Customer Service Center at:
 - 601 West Jackson, Phoenix, Arizona 85003
 - 16380 North 40th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85032
 - 222 East Javelina Avenue, Mesa, Arizona 85210
 - 14264 West Tierra Buena Lane, Surprise, Arizona, 85374.
- Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the office of the judge or commissioner assigned to the case, at least (in (10) judicial days before your scheduled count date.
- Requests for an Interpreter for persons with limited English proficiency must be made to the office of the judge or commissioner assigned to the case at least ten (10) judicial days in advance of your scheduled court date.

SIGNED AND SEALED this date

APR 1 2 2017

MICHAELK, JEANES, CLERK

MIGHAEL JEANES, CLERK OF COURT

By AMAGULMING

- 1

K. Masawiestewa Deputy Glerk



Sandra Zinn APR 1 2 2017 8262 E. Vista De valle Scottsdale, AZ 85255 MICHAEL K. JEANES CL K. MASAWIESTEWA DEPUTY CLERK Tel: (602)318-8080 In Pro Se ARIZONA SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF MARICOPA 9 CV2017-053077 10 SANDRA ZINN CASE NO. 11 Plaintiff, 12 ARREST; EXCESSIVE FORCE: 13 CITY OF SCOTTSDALE; SCOTTSDALE POLICE DEPARTMENT: MARICOPA DISTRESS: ABUSE OF PROCESS: COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. DEFAMATION: FALSE LIGHT 15 Jury Trial Demanded 16 Defendants: 17 18 Plaintiff allege the following facts: 19 I. Plaintiff SANDRA ZINN (hereafter "ZINN") is, and at relevant times herein 20 mentioned was, an individual who resides principally in Orange County, California, and 21 22 who maintains a residence in Scottsdale, Maricopa County, in the State of Arizona. 2. Defendant CITY OF SCOTTSDALE (hereafter, "SCOTTSDALE") is, and at all 23 relevant times herein mentioned was, a city or municipality in the County of Maricopa, in 24 the State of Arizona. 25 3. Defendant SCOTTSDALE POLICE DEPARTMENT (hereafter "SPD") is a 26 government law enforcement entity that plaintiff believes is affiliated with SCOTTSDALE 27 28

COMPLAINT

23

24

21

27 28 and located in the County of Maricopa, in the State of Arizona.

- Defendant MARICOPA COUNTY (hereafter "MARICOPA") is, and at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a county and government entity in the State of Arizona.
- 5. At certain relevant times herein mentioned, each of the defendants named above served as the agent, servant, representative and/or employee of each of the other defendants, and certain of the acts alleged to have been done by said defendants were done in the capacity of and as agent for each of the other defendants.

学を発展を表する

6. Maricopa County Superior Court has the legal authority to hear and decide this case because the value of the case exceeds \$10,000, the defendants reside in Maricopa County, and the events or actions that are the subject of this Complaint occurred in Maricopa County.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

- Plaintiffirealloges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 5 of the Complaint.
- 8. On or about April 12, 2016, defendants, primarily through the SPD, sent a team of police officers, many outfitted in full tactical gear and armaments, to plaintiff's home inside a gated community in Scottsdale. Plaintiff was not initially aware of the presence of that team of officers, or of any warrant, courforder, or other ostensible or colorable legal basis for any entry by them on to the premises of her home, or for any arrest, apprehension, or detention of plaintiff, or for her adult son, Nicholas Krakana ("Krakana") who was staying there with her at the time, enjoying dinner with his young children, who are plaintiff's grandchildren.
- 9. Without any prior warning, that team of heavily armed police officers battered down plaintiff's front door to her home, and then forcefully entered and wrestled down plaintiff and her son and handcuffed them both. In the process of subduing plaintiff, a 67 year old woman who offered no resistance, the officers slammed plaintiff's head against the

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

46

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1000年第二年第二日本

-3-

16. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, the

allegations contained in paragraphs I through 15 of the Complaint.

17. Defend	lants he	rein used	l force	in arre	guile	and	detainii	ng pla	aintiff.	The	force
used by defendants	Was ox	ccssive,	and in	violat	ion of	app	licable	laws,	regula	tions	, and
standards of care.	•		•				•	. ,	•		

б

.17

- 18. At the time that defendants used excessive force as alleged above, defendants were acting or purporting to act in the performance of their official duties.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' use of excessive force against plaintiff, plaintiff has suffered harm and damages, including, without limitation, physical injury, damage to property and severe emotional distress, in an amount to be proved at trial and above the jurisdictional amount required by this Court, and defendants' use of excessive force was a substantial factor in causing such harm.
- 20. The actions of defendants herein were malicious, wanton, and oppressive, and plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Assault And Battery)

- 21. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraphs I through 20 of the Complaint.
- 22. By their actions alloged above, defendants intentionally engaged in or caused nonconsensual and harmful or offensive contact against or with plaintiff, and put her in apprehension of personal harm.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the above-alleged wrongful acts, plainfiff has suffered harm and damages, including physical injury and severe emotional distress, in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 24. The actions of defendants herein were willful, malicious, wanton and oppressive, and plaintiff is thereby entitled to an award of punitive damages.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence)

25. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraphs I through 24 of the Complaint.

COMPLAINT

28

16_

 regarding plaintiff, to various persons, including members of the public. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that these publications falsely stated or implied that plaintiff had engaged in various acts that constituted criminal conduct and/or violations of law and illegal or immoral behavior.

- 35. These false and unprivileged statements regarding plaintiff were of such a nature that they naturally would, and did, injury plaintiff in her reputation.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful, false and defamatory statements and their publication to other persons, as alleged above, plaintiff has suffered damages, including severe emotional distress, and injury to her professional reputation, all in an amount to be proved at trial.
- 37. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the defamatory statements were made with malice and oppression against plaintiff, and were intended to vex, amoy or injure plaintiff, based on ill will toward plaintiff by defendants, and that plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (False Light)

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference as though fully set forth, the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 36 of the Complaint.
- 39. Defendants made publications and communications to the public that contained unfair or inaccurate depictions of plaintiff and/or of actions or omissions attributed to plaintiff, that placed plaintiff in a false light that was highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of defendants' wrongful actions as alleged above, plaintiff has suffered harm and damages, including severe emotional distress and damage to her reputation, in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 41. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the actions of defendants were willful, malicious, wanton and oppressive, and plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages.

2

3

4

5

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Carlina Ware		COPY	
Person Filling: Sandra Zinn			
Address (If not protected): 8262 E, Vista De Valle	;	APR 1 2 2017	
City, State, Zip Code: Scottsdale, AZ 85255		FOR CLERK'S USE ONLY	
elephone: (602)318-8080		MICHAEL K. JEANES, CLERK	ŀ
mali Address: sandrazinn1998@yshoo.com		图 第四节 1C. MASAWIESTEWA	•
awyer's Bar Number: N/A		DEPUTY CLERK	
Representing XI Self, without a Lawyer or Attorney for Petition	ier OR	T Respondent	

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY

Sandra Zinn	AND A SECURITY OF THE SECURITY
	CV 2017-053077
	Case Number
PLAINTIEF, CALLED STORY	
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY
- (vs. 7)	ARBITRATION
City of Scottsdale; Scottsdale Police Department;	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Maricopa County Sheriff's Department	
The state of the s	international Contract the Contract of the Con

The undersigned certifies that the largest award sought by the complainant, including punitive damages, but excluding interest, attorneys fees, and costs does to does not exceed limits set by Local Rule for compulsory arbitration. This case is it is not subject to compulsory arbitration as provided in Rules 72 through 77 of the Rules of OIVII Procedure.

SUBMITTED this 11 the day of

Superior Court of Adzone in Mericopa County
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Page 1 of 1

Use current version